



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 3817	
09/852,214	05/09/2001	Jason Brandon Taylor	962P002		
26568 7.	590 04/14/2006		EXAMINER		
•	X, MCFARRON, MAN	ALVAREZ, RAQUEL			
SUITE 2850 200 WEST AD	AMS STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL	60606		3622		

DATE MAILED: 04/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			09/852,214		TAYLOR ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
			Raquel Alv	arez	3622			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN INSIGN SO IT IN IT I	MAILING DA is of 37 CFR 1.13 imunication. statutory period w ly will, by statute,	ATE OF TH 36(a). In no ever will apply and will cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION Int, however, may a reply be to expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this o ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1) ズ	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>09 Ja</i>	nuary 2006	3 .				
·	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This	-	=				
3)		,—			rosecution as to the	e merits is		
٠,٣	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims		·					
- 4\ ⊠	_							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>41 and 42</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
	Claim(s) are subject to restri	iction and/or	r election re	auirement.				
	ion Papers			1				
	•	na Evamina	<u>.</u>					
	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are			T objected to by the	Evaminor			
10)[Applicant may not request that any obje	•	-	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			-	• •	ED 1 121(d)		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	-	•	- , ,	-	• •		
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	io by the Ex		o the attached office	o rection of form	10 102.		
	_		,					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	i for foreign	priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (t).			
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:		- h h					
	1. Certified copies of the priority							
	2. Certified copies of the priority							
	3. Copies of the certified copies		-		ved in this National	Stage		
* 0	application from the Internation		•	• • •				
•	See the attached detailed Office action	on ioi a list (or trie centil	eu copies not receiv	reu.			
Attachmen	• •							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (DTO 049)		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
	æ of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o			5) Notice of Informal		O-152)		
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/20/2001</u> .			6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/852,214 Page 2

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to communication filed on 1/9/2006.

- 2. Applicant elected Group I, consisting of claims 1-40.
- 3. Claims 41-42 should include the identifier –withdrawn. Claims non-elected are presumed to be withdrawn. See 37 CFR 1.121

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. In claims 21-28, the term "permitting" do not positively recite the steps being performed. Correction required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 6. Claims 1-5, 9-10, 21-25, 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Follis (6,092,806 hereinafter Follis).

With respect to claims 1, 4-5, 10, 21, 24-25, 30 Follis teaches a method for participation in a promotion program (Abstract). Acquiring a quantity of points (col. 7, lines 54-62); reviewing an upcoming real world event and reviewing a select set of possible outcomes for said event (col. 8, lines 41-63); selecting one of said select set of possible outcomes as a prediction entry (col. 9, lines 23 to col. 10, lines 1-27); associating said quantity of points with said prediction entry (see Figures 2A-2D);

awaiting an actual outcome of said upcoming real world event to determine whether said prediction entry might be a winning prediction entry (col. 12, lines 16-27); and if said prediction entry is a winning prediction entry, receiving a reward for making a winning prediction entry during participation in said promotion program (col. 13, lines 65 to col. 14, lines 1-6).

With respect to claims 2-3, 9, 22-23, 29 Follis further teaches downloading a login webpage and conducting a login process to initiate participation in said promotion program (col. 4, lines 31-37).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 6-8, 11-20, 26-28 and 31-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Follis.

Claims 6-8 and 26-28 further recite replacing said prediction entry with said adjusted prediction entry. Official notice is taken that it is old and well known in the computer related arts to replace or update or change certain entry in order to reflect the

user's change of mind. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included replacing said prediction entry with said adjusted prediction entry in order to achieve the above mentioned advantage.

Claims 11, 18-20, 31, 38-39 further recite purchasing an instant win product having an instant win code; entering the instant win code at an instant webpage and receiving results as to whether entry of said instant win code constitutes an instant win. Official notice is taken that it is old and well known to purchase an instant win product having an instant win code; entering the instant win code at an instant webpage and receiving results as to whether entry of said instant win code constitutes an instant win. For example, Turkey Hill offers a game code on selected, specially marked packages of Turkey Hill Dairy Ice Cream. The users have to go turkeyhill.com and follow the onscreen instructions to play the game. The game code will allow entrance into an online game. If you are drawn a winning message, you are the potential winner of the prize specified. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included recite purchasing an instant win product having an instant win code; entering the instant win code at an instant webpage and receiving results as to whether entry of said instant win code constitutes an instant win in order to obtain the above mentioned advantages. With respect to the product being an Online product it would have been obvious to replace the offline product with the Online product to obtain similar results.

Claims 12, 15, 32 and 35 further recite completing a survey and in response to completion of said survey receiving a reward. Official notice is taken that it is old and well known to receive a reward for completing a survey. For example, marketers would encourage customers or users to fill out a survey or questionnaire by given them free merchandise or items. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included completing a survey and in response to completion of said survey receiving a reward in order to achieve the above mentioned advantage.

Claims 13-14, 16-17, 33-34, 36-37, are rejected under same rationale as claims 11, 18-20 and 31 rejected above.

Claim 40 is rejected under same rationale as claims 11, 18-20, 31, 38-39 rejected above.

Point of contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raquel Alvarez whose telephone number is (571)272-6715. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric w. Stamber can be reached on (571)272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raquel Alvarez
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3622

R.A. 4/11/2006